

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/626,585	Applicant(s) KEELAPANG ET AL.	
	Examiner Stacy B. Chen	Art Unit 1648	

All Participants:

(1) Stacy B. Chen (PTO).

(2) Nuttina Netsuwan (Appl. Rep.).

Date of Interview: 27 January 2010

Status of Application: allowable

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 8:45 p.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-6

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Stacy B Chen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested claim language to place the claims in condition for allowance by examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative agreed to the suggestions and also agreed to file a new sequence listing that includes SEQ ID NO: 2 and 3 which are part of the original disclosure but inadvertently omitted from the CRF and paper sequence listing. A notice to comply with the sequence rules is attached..